

Motion in MRI

Anthony G. Christodoulou, PhD
Department of Radiological Sciences
David Geffen School of Medicine
University of California, Los Angeles



M229


04 June 2024

Why do we care about motion?


MRI is a slow image modality!

Motion during scans often violates our encoding assumptions


- Various impacts:
 - Acquisition
 - Reconstruction
 - Analysis



No motion handling



Motion handling



Solutions depend on whether:

- We want the motion **out** of our images
- We want the motion **in** our images

What types of motion are there?

Various sources, speeds, displacements, and patterns

Source	Speed	Displacement	Pattern
Cardiac	1–2 Hz	mm	~Periodic
Respiratory	0.2–0.5 Hz	mm–cm	~Periodic
Bulk motion	Varies	mm–cm	Often transient or instantaneous
Vascular pulsation	1–2 Hz	mm	~Periodic
Peristalsis	≤ 0.2 Hz	mm	Unpredictable
Swallowing/coughing	Varies	mm–cm	Transient


What happens when an object moves?

Motion *during* readouts: spin phase perspective


Gradients encode position as phase and frequency

Position changes during gradients

- ↳ inaccurate encoding
- ↳ inaccurate decoding
(spins in the wrong place)



Zaitsev M et al., *JMRI* 2015



What happens when an object moves?

Motion *during* readouts: spin phase perspective




Gradients encode position as phase and frequency

Position changes during gradients

- ↳ inaccurate encoding
- ↳ inaccurate decoding
(spins in the wrong place)

Position changes between gradients

- ↳ incomplete echo/recall/rewinding
- ↳ phase accumulation
- ↳ signal loss
(similar principle to diffusion encoding)



What happens when an object moves?

Motion *during* readouts: spin phase perspective

Gradients encode position as phase and frequency

Position changes during gradients

- ↳ inaccurate encoding
 - ↳ inaccurate decoding
 - (spins in the wrong place)

Position changes between gradients

- ↳ incomplete echo/recall/rewinding
 - ↳ phase accumulation
 - ↳ signal loss
 - (similar principle to diffusion encoding)



Le Bihan D et al., *JMRI* 2006

What happens when an object moves?

Motion *between* readouts: k-space perspective


Paschal CB et al., *JMRI* 2004

$$S(k) = \int I(x) e^{-j2\pi kx} dx$$

signal image

Low-freq. mismatches ~ blurring

High-freq. mismatches ~ ghosting



What happens when an object moves?


Motion *between* readouts: k-space perspective



Original image






Blurring



Ghosting



What happens when an object moves?

Motion *between* readouts: k-space perspective



Artifacts depend on:



- 1) Readout direction
- 2) Motion timing
- 3) Acquisition timing



What happens when an object moves?

Motion *between* excitations: spin history perspective

We are not exciting the same spins every time → incomplete/incorrect steady-state contrast



This can sometimes be used to our advantage, e.g. bright-blood contrast from inflowing spins

Can't we just image faster?

Real-time imaging

Yes, BUT

- Physiological limits on
 - how fast readouts can be
 - how often excitations can be
- Tradeoffs in spatial/temporal resolution
 - Several “fast imaging” reconstruction solutions, but these are for another lecture
- Does not solve analysis problems (motion considered “physiologic noise”)

What is our menu of options?

← Prospectively OR Retrospectively →

IGNORE



AVOID



RESOLVE



CORRECT



These are not mutually exclusive



SOLUTIONS:


IGNORING MOTION

Motion-robust encoding


Can we adjust our trajectory and/or timing?

Example 1

Change the readout direction:



Anterior to posterior phase direction



Right to left phase direction

Motion-robust encoding


Can we adjust our trajectory and/or timing?

Example 2


Center of k-space \rightarrow shape \rightarrow blurring
 \hookrightarrow Re-acquire \rightarrow \sim similar blurring

Periphery of k-space \rightarrow edges \rightarrow ghosting
 \hookrightarrow Re-acquire \rightarrow \sim different ghosting

Collect multiple signal averages:



1 average



5 averages



Motion-robust encoding

Can we adjust our trajectory and/or timing?

Example 3

Do both at once:

- Continually change our readout direction
- Continually re-acquire the center of k-space



Use non-Cartesian trajectories:





SOLUTIONS:

AVOIDING MOTION

Stopping bulk motion

Communication, immobilization, and/or medication

Communication

- Instructions
- Updates

Physical approaches

- Padding
- Restraints
- Bite bars

Pharmacological approaches

- Sedation
- Anesthesia
- Glucagon (for peristalsis)



Cambridge Research Systems



Menon V, et al. *BRM* 1997



Wikimedia, CC BY-SA 4.0, Whispyhistory

Stopping respiratory motion


Breath-holding

Gives ~20 sec window for fast acquisition


Hard to repeat exact positioning in successive breath-holds

Doesn't work for patients who can't cooperate

Bernstein MA et al., *Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences*



Free-breathing



Breath-held

Avoiding respiratory motion

Terminology: triggering vs. gating

Terms are sometimes used interchangeably, but for the purposes of this lecture:

Triggering

- An event initiates acquisition after pauses
- Must be prospective

Gating

- Acquisition is continuous
- Data are:
 - selectively accepted (if avoiding motion)
 - or binned/sorted by motion state (if resolving motion)
- *Prospective* gating only keeps accepted/binned data
- *Retrospective* gating keeps all data for acceptance/binned at the end of the scan

Avoiding respiratory motion

Prospective triggering

External monitoring


- Respiratory bellows
- RF monitoring

Respiratory navigation


- Diaphragmatic navigators

Pre-defined acceptance window

- Tradeoff: precision vs. scan time
- Scan time is unpredictable



Hope TA et al., *EJNMMI Physics* 2015



<https://www.mriquestions.com/respiratory-comp.html>

Avoiding respiratory motion

Prospective gating (acceptance)

External monitoring


- Respiratory bellows
- RF monitoring

Respiratory navigation

- Diaphragmatic navigators

Pre-defined acceptance window

- Tradeoff: precision vs. scan time
- Scan time is unpredictable



PTB (Germany)

Avoiding respiratory motion

Retrospective gating (acceptance)

External monitoring



- Respiratory bellows
- RF monitoring

Respiratory navigation

- Diaphragmatic navigators
- Image navigators
- Acquired k-space data (self-navigation)
 - DC (center k-space point)
 - Projection lines (actual or extracted)

More flexible acceptance window

- Tradeoff: precision vs. scan time
- Scan time may be predetermined



Courtesy Holden Wu

Avoiding cardiac motion

Prospective triggering

Cardiac monitoring:

- ECG (most common)
- Pulse oximetry (less common)


Two quiescent periods:

Systolic

- Shorter (~120 ms)
- Timing is reliable

Diastolic


- Typically longer (~180 ms)
- Timing is variable




Bernstein MA et al., *Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences*

SHOULD we avoid motion?

What if the motion is what we specifically want to image?



ECG Medical



TeraRecon



SOLUTIONS:

RESOLVING MOTION

Resolving respiratory motion




Retrospective gating (binning)

External monitoring

- Respiratory bellows
- RF monitoring

Respiratory navigation


- Diaphragmatic navigators
- Image navigators
- Acquired k-space data (self-navigation)
 - DC (center k-space point)
 - Projection lines (actual or extracted)



Resolving respiratory motion

Phase or amplitude binning?


Hysteresis: Expiration does not just retrace inspiration



Albaiceta GM et al., *Biomed Eng Online* 2007


Amplitude binning:

- Ignores hysteresis
- Groups together inspiratory/expiratory data



Phase binning:

- Preserves hysteresis
- Potentially halves the data per bin



The “right” method depends on what information we want to preserve:

- e.g., inspiration/expiration *processes* vs. inspiration/expiration *endpoints*

Resolving cardiac motion


Prospective gating (binning)

Cardiac monitoring:

- ECG (most common)
- Pulse oximetry (less common)

Gradients can interfere with ECG signal, complicating R-wave detection during acquisition


Prospective gating momentarily pauses acquisition




- Misses end-diastole (key phase in ejection fraction)
- Causes “flash” artifact (T1 recovery during gaps)

Bernstein MA et al., *Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences*


Outside magnet



Inside magnet



Inside magnet, gradients on





Resolving cardiac motion

Retrospective gating (binning)

Cardiac monitoring:

- ECG (most common)
- Pulse oximetry (less common)

Modern systems have advanced ECG processing



Segmented techniques generally produce one “typical” cardiac cycle




Note: Virtually all ECG binning is cardiac phase binning (preserves hysteresis)

Resolving cardiac motion

Retrospective gating (binning)

Self-gating:

- DC (center k-space point)
- Projection lines



Larson AC et al., *MRM* 2004



SOLUTIONS:


CORRECTING MOTION

What is motion correction?


Undoing or compensating the effects of motion

Can be minor (phase adjustments) to major (image deformation)


Can be prospective (slice following) to retrospective (image registration)




No correction



Phase correction



Rotation correction





Shift correction

Prospective motion correction


Monitor and act

Slice following/FOV adjustment to “move with” the subject

- Primarily for rigid body motion



Data rejection and re-acquisition




Retrospective motion correction

Image registration to “undo” motion

Varying complexities of image registration models

Boucher A et al., *IEEE-ICPR 2010*



Complexity dictates when/where you can impose them

None can retrospectively correct for through-plane motion in 2D imaging

Retrospective motion correction

Retrospective...but before image reconstruction


Translation → correct the data

- Translation in image domain
 - ↳ phase modulation in k-space
- No reconstruction time penalty aside from translation detection

Rotation/affine → also “correct” k-space locations

- No reconstruction time penalty if already doing non-Cartesian reconstruction
- Moderate reconstruction time penalty if switching from Cartesian to non-Cartesian


Non-rigid elastic motion is more complicated



Zaitsev M et al., *JMRI* 2015

Retrospective motion correction

Retrospective...but before image reconstruction




Retrospective motion correction

Retrospective, during image reconstruction


Non-rigid elastic motion can be built into the forward model & inverted (explicitly/implicitly)

$$S(k, t) = \int M[I(x), t] e^{-j2\pi kx} dx \rightarrow I(x) = M^{-1} \left[\int S(k, t) e^{j2\pi kx} dk, t \right]$$

No motion correction



Non-rigid motion correction




Retrospective motion correction

Retrospective, after image reconstruction

If there are no artifacts, but motion would confound analysis (physiologic noise)

Essentially a pure image analysis problem (registration)



Motion strategies can be combined

There are so many options

Can resolve *and* compensate if desired

Can mix/match based on source, eg:

- Avoid bulk motion
- Use breath-holding
- Use retrospective cardiac gating

Or just resolve them all!

